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Introduction

Keeping with its mandate, the G20 Sustainable Finance Working Group (SFWG) is engaged in accelerating the global sustainable finance agenda. Launched in 2021, the Sustainable Finance Roadmap (‘Roadmap’) provides the SFWG with a multi-year blueprint to inform the broader G20 agenda on climate and sustainable finance and formalize future work plans. In the triennium 2021-23, the SFWG has actively contributed to advancing international work to help scale up private and public sustainable finance, thereby accelerating the implementation of the Paris Agreement and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

In 2022, the SFWG focused on three priorities, including developing a transition finance framework and improving the credibility of financial institutions’ net-zero commitments. In 2023, the SFWG developed the G20 Technical Assistance Action Plan (TAAP) and put forward recommendations on three priorities. Those included mechanisms for the mobilization of timely and adequate resources for climate finance, enabling finance for the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs—specifically by scaling up social impact investment and improving nature-related data and reporting), and capacity building of the ecosystem for financing sustainable development.

Under the G20 Brazilian Presidency in 2024, the SFWG will build on prior achievements and work on the following four priorities, as agreed by the G20 Finance and Central Bank Deputies on December 14th, 2023:

- Optimizing the operations of the International Environmental and Climate Funds to deliver sustainable finance.
- Advancing credible, robust and just transition plans.
- Analyzing implementation challenges related to sustainability reporting standards, including for SMEs and EMDEs.
- Financing Nature-Based Solutions.

In addition, at the 2023 4th SFWG meeting in Varanasi, India, SFWG members agreed on the importance of continuing to track the progress of current initiatives. Therefore, under the Brazilian Presidency, the SFWG will continue to track and report progress on the actions of the Roadmap, including country-specific activities.
The recommendations emerging from the work undertaken under the priority areas mentioned above as well as information on the progress on the implementation of the Roadmap will be captured in the 2024 G20 Sustainable Finance Report.
SFWG 2024 Workplan

Priority 1. Optimizing the operations of the International Environmental and Climate Funds\(^1\) to deliver sustainable finance

Background

Addressing climate change mitigation and adaptation costs as well as biodiversity protection needs of developing countries will require trillions of dollars in investments over the coming decade. According to an assessment of the UNFCCC’s Standing Committee on Finance, as of May 2021, developing countries needed nearly 6 trillion dollars to implement their climate action plans by 20302.

Vertical funds\(^3\) focused on global environmental issues include the Green Climate Fund (GCF), Global Environment Facility (GEF), Climate Investment Funds (CIF), and the Adaptation Fund (AF). Financial Intermediary Funds (FIFs) at the multilateral development banks (MDBs) have also emerged as important dedicated financing mechanisms to help mobilize and channel such large-scale investments. These vertical funds represent one of the largest sources of targeted multilateral catalytic finance for climate and the environment. They are distributed through implementing partners who enter co-financing arrangements, providing risk mitigation instruments and capacity-building support for projects and programs in line with global agreements, protocols, and conventions.

Vertical funds form a critical part of the evolving international financial architecture and can play a pivotal role in scaling up sustainable finance. This can include more proactive participation in blended finance, more effectively supporting capacity building for sustainable finance, improving operational efficiency of these funds, and enhancing complementarity, coherence and collaboration to promote sustainable finance. Given the growing complexity of

---

\(^1\) Global sustainable funds include Convention funding mechanisms (Green Climate Fund - GCF, Global Environment Facility - GEF), as well as the Climate Investment Funds (CIFs) and the Adaptation Fund.

\(^2\) Executive summary by the Standing Committee on Finance of the first report on the determination of the needs of developing country Parties related to implementing the Convention and the Paris Agreement. Available at: https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/54307_2%20-%20UNFCCC%20First%20NDR%20summary%20-%20V6.pdf

\(^3\) Major environmental vertical funds include the Green Climate Fund (GCF), the Global Environment Facility (GEF), the Climate Investment Funds (CIF) administered by multilateral development banks, and the Adaptation Fund (AF) under the Kyoto Protocol.
国际金融架构，识别简化系统的方式是至关重要的，以吸引私营部门的共同融资并更好地为受益者服务。在COP28期间，AF、CIFs、GEF和GCF的领导们共同发表了一份联合声明，承诺制定一项雄心勃勃的具体行动计划，以增强对气候资金的可获得性，并增加其集体影响。

目标和方法

国际可持续金融架构包括联合国公约、多边开发银行（MDBs）、联合国机构、多边信托基金以及其他公共和私有利益方。多边环境协议为这些机构提供了各自的金融机制的指导。这些基金有不同的结构、程序和治理过程。它们与不同的实施伙伴合作。国际可持续金融领域目前允许在获得贷款的过程中出现大量碎片化和不一致。对不同基金的运营进行审查可能会揭示简化访问途径、潜在的互补性和构建系统内的一致性机会。

一个由G20领导的反思，对这些基金的操作（即实践和过程）进行审查，旨在通过发展中国家简化访问，对其至关重要的，因为它们可以更好地利用私营资本。在公共资源稀缺的背景下，这些基金的使用可以催化发展中国家实现与气候、生物多样性、环境保护和可持续发展相关的雄心勃勃的目标。

考虑到其在全球经济和金融问题上的召集作用以及其最近在可持续金融方面的议程，G20是一个有用的平台来发起此类讨论。解决瓶颈，包括通过优化基金运营来优化资金的渠道和交付，对于增强战略影响至关重要。有充分的空间来优化环境和气候基金的运营，减少在渠道和交付资金过程中的不一致。

4 《联合国气候变化框架公约》（UNFCCC）和《巴黎协定》的金融机制包括全球环境基金（GEF）及其相关的最不发达国家基金和特别气候基金，以及绿色气候基金（GCF）。此外，适应基金为《巴黎协定》和《京都议定书》服务，但不是《联合国气候变化框架公约》的金融机制的一部分。

G20可持续金融工作组
system, and facilitate co-financing with MDBs, while leveraging and amplifying scarce public resources and attracting more private capital.

The review of practices and processes of global vertical environmental funds, links directly to the G20 Sustainable Finance Roadmap Focus Areas 1 and 4 on market development and the role of IFIs. The SFWG has addressed the role of IFIs in sustainable finance through a dedicated priority in 2021 and within other of the group’s priorities in 2022 and 2023. The SFWG will coordinate and ensure complementarity of outcomes with the Brazil G20 Task Force for Global Mobilization Against Climate Change and other international bodies working on the matter.

**Suggested input papers**

An independent High-level Expert Group will guide the development of a report looking to compile existing research and literature on environmental and climate vertical funds’ financial and operational models. It will focus on the four main climate and environmental funds: the Global Environmental Facility (GEF), the Green Climate Fund (GCF), the Climate Investment Funds (CIF), and the Adaptation Fund. It will include an analysis with four components: 1. how to optimize the access to these funds, specifically regarding (i) the accreditation process; (ii) project preparation requirements, including project assessment criteria; and (iii) disbursement requirements, all while respecting funds’ individual mandate, operational arrangements, and policies; 2. enhancing complementarity and collaboration to promote sustainable finance; 3. identifying challenges of participating in blended finance and providing recommendations for overcoming these difficulties; 4. prioritizing the actions of supporting capacity building for sustainable finance. The report will be presented to the SFWG by the 3rd SFWG meeting.

**Deliverables**

A set of actionable recommendations from the G20 on optimizing the operations of International Environmental and Climate Funds in delivering sustainable finance
Priority 2. Advancing credible, robust and just transition plans

Background

Mitigating global climate change and transitioning towards low- or net zero GHG emissions and resilient economies will require significant investment, estimated at USD 4-6 trillion annually until 2030. Transitions to low- or net zero-emission economies may also generate a need to skillfully address potential negative economic and social consequences.

The significance of transition plans is concurrently growing in importance for the private sector, central banks, supervisory bodies. The current landscape is marked by a lack of transition plans and a diversity of transition plan approaches that differ in their scope and use-cases, potentially hindering stakeholders’ capacity to evaluate transition plans. The Brazilian Presidency of the G20 has placed the fight against climate change, poverty and social inequality at the core of its mission. In this context, the SFWG aims to provide greater impetus to further develop the transition finance framework by working on credible, robust and just transition plans.

Transition finance, as discussed in the 2022 G20 Sustainable Finance Report and for the purposes of the SFWG 2024 Workplan, refers to financial services supporting the whole-of-economy transition, in the context of the SDGs, towards lower and net-zero emissions and climate resilience, in a manner aligned with the goals of the Paris Agreement. Under Indonesia’s G20 presidency in 2022, the SFWG produced the G20 Transition Finance Framework (‘Framework’) which was endorsed by G20 leaders. It provides a series of high-level principles and recommendations for policymakers and stakeholders to advance financing the transition to a low- or net zero GHG economy. Many G20 members have expressed interest in carrying forward the work on transition finance during Brazil Presidency, thus contributing to implementation of Roadmap Action 18.

Objectives and Approach

With the goal of facilitating the transition towards low- or net zero GHG and resilient economies that leave no one behind, the SFWG will (i) develop high-level principles for transition plans, and (ii) reflect on what defines a ‘just’ transition.

---

transition and provide guidance on how financial institutions and corporations can deepen the ‘just’ component of transition plans.

This work will build on the G20 Transition Finance Framework, with an in-depth view of Pillar 5 “Assessing and Mitigating Negative Social and Economic Impact of Transition activities and investments” and in particular Principles 20, 21 and 22.6

Suggested input papers

- Review of existing guidelines for financial institutions and corporations to develop transition plans, and cases studies of corporate and financial institution transition plans to identify aspects that are credible, robust and supporting a just transition.
- Review of existing guidelines for transition plans with a focus on different use cases. Stocktake of existing methodologies for identifying and assessing possible negative social and economic impacts of transition activities and investments.
- Review of existing definitions of the ‘just’ dimension of transition finance and related supporting tools and sector use cases, with a focus on their applicability across different sectors and economies and just transition.
- Input paper on enhancing social risk assessment in transition finance.
- Input paper on how to enhance availability of social data for financial institutions to mitigate negative social and economic impacts.

Deliverables

- A set of high-level principles for transition plans that accommodates different use-cases and acknowledges different jurisdictional frameworks.
- Recommendations on just transition for jurisdictions and IOs focusing on how to assess and mitigate negative social and economic impacts of financial institutions and corporate transition activities and investments.

6 Principle 20: Encourage fundraisers to assess and mitigate potential impacts of their transition plans or other strategies. Principle 21: Develop demonstrate cases of just transition. Principle 22: Strengthen the dialogue and cooperation between government agencies, employers and workers representatives, market regulators, academia, civil society and private sector stakeholders to define a comprehensive strategy to mitigate negative economic and social implications.
Priority 3: Analyzing implementation challenges related to sustainability reporting standards, including for SMEs and EMDEs

Background

Currently, a growing number of stakeholders are demanding greater transparency, comparability and standardization of companies’ sustainability disclosures. International standard setters have responded to this call by publishing standards for reporting on these themes.

At the international level, the first two IFRS International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) Standards (IFRS S1 and S2) were published in June 2023 and were endorsed by the International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO). IOSCO called on members to consider ways in which they might adopt, apply or otherwise be informed by the ISSB Standards, emphasizing that individual jurisdictions have different domestic arrangements regarding the consideration of international standards. Several sustainability disclosure regulations were developed over the past years at the jurisdictional level as well and will affect firms outside of their territories. Much work is done to map how different disclosure regulations compare with each other. The SFWG proposes to build on this with a specific focus on proportionality provisions of G20 members’ regulations and of international standards such as the ISSB, regardless of whether they are voluntary or mandatory.

Additional efforts from companies to enhance their sustainability disclosures using internationally recognized frameworks or standards can bring benefits, such as lower funding costs, higher valuations and more capital inflows. While the total volume of assets under management in funds related to sustainability is difficult to measure, estimates are in the tens of trillions and growing\(^2\). ESG investments, which do not encompass all sustainable investments, have reached US$ 18.4 trillion in 2021 (PwC, 2022). According to IMF researchers, ESG investments now make up almost 18 percent of foreign financing for emerging markets excluding China, quadruple the average for recent years (Gautam, Goel, Natalucci, 2022). Disclosing social and environmental metrics are increasingly

important to attract this capital, and to maximize benefits throughout the economy, SMEs everywhere and firms in EMDEs, especially the poorest, must not be left behind.

SMEs and firms in EMDEs may face issues to implement disclosure requirements as well as indirect challenges arising from their participation in value chains. Companies in some jurisdictions may need to disclose information both from suppliers and consumers. Consequently, SMEs and EMDEs also may face costs to comply with non-mandatory guidance, at the potential risk of being excluded from value chains. Thus, it is important to address challenges via accessing capacity building, “more efficient use of available information” and leveraging digital technologies in order to address disproportionate burdens on small and medium-sized enterprises,” as highlighted in Action 9 of the Roadmap.

Objectives and Approach

Therefore, the Brazilian Presidency intends to delve deeper into the analysis of not only the benefits but also the challenges regarding the implementation of sustainability reporting standards faced by both SMEs and other firms in EMDEs, so that these reporting standards can work for all. The expected workflow is twofold: (i) a paper on the benefits and challenges of implementing current sustainability reporting standards for SMEs and EMDEs and (ii) a comprehensive stocktaking of digital and other tools for enhanced sustainability reporting.

This priority links to the Roadmap’s Focus Areas 2 (Data) and 3 (Risks) on sustainability disclosures in the context of SMEs and EMDEs.

Suggested input papers

- Input paper on the sustainability-related, indirect reporting obligations of companies in supply chains suggested by international standards including IFRS S1 and S2, GRI, and other emergent standards, as well as related regulation and proposed regulation in G20 jurisdictions.

---

8 In its 2021 Synthesis Report, the SFWG recommended that the IFRS Foundation consider issuing sustainability reporting guidance for SMEs, similar to its “IFRS for SMEs” guidance dealing with accounting standards. The IFRS should cooperate with other sustainability standard-setters that are also working on SMEs disclosures. International organizations could promote the development and usage of digital technology solutions for sustainability reporting tailored for SMEs.
• Input paper from relevant standard-setter(s) working on sustainability reporting standards about how existing standards seek to incorporate the principle of proportionality and/or capacity building efforts to assist jurisdictions who are seeking to implement sustainability standards.
• Input paper with a comprehensive stock take of digital and other tools for enhanced sustainability reporting.

**Deliverables**

Recommendations for relevant stakeholders, as appropriate, on addressing challenges for SMEs and EMDEs to implement sustainability reporting that works for all.
Priority 4: Financing Nature-Based Solutions (NbS)

Background

The concept of NbS\(^9\) was formally adopted on March 2, 2022, at the United Nations Environment Assembly 5, through UNEA Resolution 5.2. The resolution recognizes the important role of NbS in the global response to climate change, their social, economic, and environmental benefits, and their role in achieving the SDGs. Financing NbS will be critical for our effort to reverse nature and biodiversity loss and address climate change. The financial instruments for NbS include the use of risk-sharing instruments, blended finance instruments, and other forms of leveraging private capital to investments that generate benefits for climate, biodiversity conservation, and the social development of local communities.

The solutions are complex and often do not reach the projects that need them. According to UNEP\(^10\), in 2022, global investment in NbS totaled approximately USD 200 billion, with private finance contributing only 18%, while finance flows to activities directly harming nature were more than 30 times larger. Finance flows to NbS must almost triple from current levels to reach the USD 542 billion/year investment in NbS needed by 2030 and quadruple by 2050 to reach USD 737 billion/year to meet the Rio Convention targets. To bridge this gap, it is essential to understand how each available financial instrument can be used to its fullest potential.

Objectives and Approach

The objective for this priority is to explore how to scale up the financing of NbS, including through debt-for-nature swaps and risk-sharing instruments such as blended finance.

The proposed workplan for this priority is as follows: (i) collect cases of financial instruments used to finance NbS across restoration, bioeconomy, agro forestry, and conservation and in various geographies including Latin America, Small

\(^9\) The UNEA Resolution “Decides that nature-based solutions are “actions to protect, conserve, restore, sustainably use and manage natural or modified terrestrial, freshwater, coastal and marine ecosystems which address social, economic and environmental challenges effectively and adaptively, while simultaneously providing human well-being, ecosystem services, resilience and biodiversity benefits”.

Island States, Africa and Asia and identify success factors and potential for replication; (ii) identify barriers to the scaling up and/or the replicability of NbS, and (iii) develop recommendations to avoid harm to nature.

In line with the Roadmap objective of further integrating other aspects of sustainability, including nature and biodiversity, the financing of NbS touches upon multiple areas of the roadmap. It is affected by the availability of consistent, comparable, and decision-useful information on sustainability risks, opportunities and impacts (Focus Area 2), and assessment and management of climate and other sustainability risks, focusing on risk of nature degradation (Focus Area 3). This priority builds on the 2022 work on nature-related data and reporting. It will be affected by IFI’s financing frameworks and different pricing and non-pricing policy levers (Focus Area 4).

Suggested input papers

- Cases studies presenting innovative financial instruments for NbS, their use-case, challenges and conditions for successful scaling-up. Cases on blended finance mechanisms and debt-for-nature swaps are especially welcomed.
- Recommendations for tailoring existing financial solutions and to best support the specific needs of NbS.

Deliverables

- An online inventory of NbS financial instruments and case studies will showcase the financial instruments, conditions for success factors and recommendation for scaling-up.
- Recommendations for addressing the challenges to scaling up NbS financing, especially in developing countries, thus contributing to climate resilience, biodiversity conservation, and local community development. It will include recommendations for adapting existing financial solutions, such as blended finance instruments, to the specific needs of NbS.
Inputs to the 2024 SFWG agenda

In addition to input papers, several side events will be organized to inform the 2024 agenda, the implementation of previous years recommendations, and the further exploration of legacy issues. The topics will be SDG Finance in urban contexts, carbon markets, local currency financing, and Technical Assistance Action Plan (TAAP) Implementation Mechanism (IM), besides the yearly private sector roundtable.

Key event dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates*</th>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Location*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February, 5-6</td>
<td>1st SFWG meeting</td>
<td>Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February, 26-27</td>
<td>2nd FCBD meeting</td>
<td>São Paulo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February, 28-29</td>
<td>1st FMCBG meeting</td>
<td>São Paulo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March, 5-6</td>
<td>SFWG Private Sector Roundtable</td>
<td>Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April, 1-2</td>
<td>2nd SFWG meeting</td>
<td>Brasilia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 16-17</td>
<td>3rd FCBD meeting</td>
<td>Washington, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 18</td>
<td>2nd FMCBG meeting</td>
<td>Washington, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July, 9-10</td>
<td>3rd SFWG meeting</td>
<td>Belém</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July, 22-23</td>
<td>4th FCBD meeting</td>
<td>Rio de Janeiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July, 25-26</td>
<td>3rd FMCBG meeting</td>
<td>Rio de Janeiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September, 9-10</td>
<td>4th SFWG meeting</td>
<td>Rio de Janeiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October, 22-23</td>
<td>5th FCBD meeting</td>
<td>Washington, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October, 24</td>
<td>4th FMCBG meeting</td>
<td>Washington, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November, 18-19</td>
<td>G20 Leaders’ summit</td>
<td>Rio de Janeiro</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Dates and locations of SFWG meetings beyond March 2024 are tentative.